Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/718,086	CLEMENS, READE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
PHONG H. NGUYEN	3724	

	PHONG H. NGUYEN	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. \[\text{\text{The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonmen application, application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi, or other evidence, which pic application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a R for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office lates the nat tree months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	ience with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (d) They are not deemed to place the application in better (d) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in the deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in the deemed to place the applica	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c			10 100000 101		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number or finally reje	cted claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		.,,			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. \(\bigcirc \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \(\bigcirc \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed to: Claim(s) rejected: \(\frac{1-14}{2} \). Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. Other:					
	/Phong H Nguyen/ Examiner Art Unit 3724				

September 16, 2010

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Applicant argues that prior art fails to provide reasonable expectation of success. This argument is not persuasive. Prior art teaches one skilled in the art finding directions in a diamond that has high and low wear resistant properties so that the diamond can be shaped accordingly. So there is a success in shaping a diamond according to some directions to increase the diamond's wear resistant property. One skilled in the art is a creative person. He/she would not stop at what given but try to explore for more options based on what given. Therefore, it would be reasonable to expect one skilled in the art to do repeated experiments to come up with the claimed directions.

Regarding the Applicant's argument with respect to the Shah declaration, Mr. Shah did not arrange carbon atoms in a diamond to create the e17.1, 2.42 direction. He just did repeated experiments to find out that shaping a diamond according to direction own di increase the wear resistance of the shaped diamond tip. So whether Mr. Shah found that direction or not, that direction is always a part of a diamond. Therefore, the <17.12, 244 direction is an intrinsic direction in a diamond and is of

The Applicant argues that Batsch and Kobayashi are non-analogous art. This argument is not persuasive. Batsch and Kobayashi teach finding directions in a diamond so that a diamond tip can be shaped accordingly to increase the wear resistance of the diamond tip. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to do repeated experiments to come up with the claimed direction. Therefore, Batsch and Kobayashi are analogous art.